Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	v 10/787,181	TSUNAKAWA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Henry M. Johnson, III	3739
All Participants:	Status of Application: _	
(1) <u>Henry M. Johnson, III</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Katherine Pauley</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 19 July 2005	Time: <u>1:18 PM EDT</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:		•
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE Amended claim 1 via examiner's amendment	GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WA	AS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief such as the provide and the second second	on. The examiner will provide a wri arate record of the substance of th	tten summary of the substance interview, since the interview
		•
-		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Ap	plicant/Applicant's Representative	Signature – if appropriate)